1	, and the state of
2	COUNTY OF C O O K)
3	COUNTY DEPARTMENT - PROBATE DIVISION
4 5	IN RE THE ESTATE OF:
6	MARY SYKES,
7	a Disabled Person.
8	
9	REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had at the
10	hearing of the above-entitled cause before the
11	HONORABLE JANE STUART, Judge of said court, on the
12	8th day of September, A.D., 2011.
13	PRESENT:
14 15	MR. ADAM STERN, Guardian Ad Litem for Mary Sykes,
16	MR. PETER SCHMIEDEL, Appeared on behalf of Carolyn Toerpe,
17	MS. CYNTHIA FARENGA, Guardian Ad Litem for Mary Sykes,
18	MS. CAROLYN TOERPE,
19	Daughter and Guardian for Mary Sykes,
20	MS. GLORIA JEAN SYKES,
21	Daughter of Mary Sykes.
22	CHADIENE I BUOMES AND
23	CHARLENE J. THOMAS, CSR, RPR Official Court Reporter
24	CSR License No. 084-001251 69 West Washington Street, Suite 900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

1	THE COURT: Good afternoon, all.
2	Sykes. And all who want to come, please
3	come.
4	MR. SCHMIEDEL: That might limit it some,
5	Judge.
6	THE COURT: Just a moment, I want to wait until
7	everyone is here.
8	MR. SCHMIEDEL: Peter Schmiedel,
9	S-c-h-m-i-e-d-e-l, on behalf of Carolyn Toerpe.
10	MS. TOERPE: Carolyn Toerpe, T-o-e-r-p-e,
11	Guardian and daughter of Mary Sykes.
12	MS. FARENGA: Cynthia Farenga, F-a-r-e-n-g-a,
13	Guardian Ad Litem for Mary Sykes.
14	MR. STERN: Adam Stern, S-t-e-r-n, also
15	Guardian Ad Litem for Mary Sykes.
16	MS. SYKES: Gloria Jean Sykes, the youngest
17	daughter of Mary G. Sykes. And I do not submit to
18	the jurisdiction of this Court as it doesn't meet the
19	requirements of Sodini (phonetic).
20	And Your Honor
21	THE COURT: No.
22	MS. SYKES: The name no, I was having some
23	people come but the name wasn't on the outside and
24	THE COURT: Okay. I have before me a petition

1	for partition that has been filed. When was this
2	filed?
3	MR. SCHMIEDEL: Excuse me, Judge, I have it
4	here.
5	THE COURT: I don't have the date here.
6	MS. FARENGA: Would the Court like the most
7	recent order?
8	THE COURT: Say it again.
9	MS. FARENGA: Would the Court like the order
10	setting the matter for today?
11	THE COURT: Okay. I'm just going to put in the
12	record what was
13	MS. FARENGA: Right, it was filed
14	THE COURT: It was filed on July 8th, oh
15	goodness, and
16	MS. FARENGA: I think it was filed
17	MR. SCHMIEDEL: No, no, the petition
18	actually was filed well before that, Judge. I'm
19	sorry, I have to look at the
20	MS. FARENGA: This is just the order setting
21	it.
22	THE COURT: Is there any other matter before
23	the Court?
24	MR. SCHMIEDEL: There was the apportionment

agreement, the motion to set aside the apportionment agreement.

THE COURT: Yes, which is ongoing. I want to set a definite hearing date, set aside time in the Court's calendar. I know it's going to be sometime in the future for a hearing on that so that we can have witnesses. There also is your motion to set aside the testimony of Dr. Shaw.

Looking back in the record, I find nothing that makes this Court, me, feel that there was appropriate notice to Ms. Sykes of Dr. Shaw's testimony. However, he has testified and he was sworn and testified.

I would have him come back at the date of hearing on the apportionment agreement and he will be subject to cross-examination by Ms. Sykes and you will then be able to redirect -- have a redirect of him. Do you understand what I'm saying?

MR. SCHMIEDEL: I think he was cross-examined by --

THE COURT: Well, but I want her to have some opportunity to know that he's coming and to be prepared for her cross. I think that will solve any question about any deficiencies there.

	п
1	Now, is there anything else?
2	MS. TOERPE: Just as to the estate, Your Honor.
3	I understand what the Court
4	THE COURT: We have to do this the correct way.
5	MS. TOERPE: But Ms. Sykes
6	THE COURT: If improperly done, up on appeal
7	this will be undone.
8	MS. TOERPE: I would argue it was not
9	improperly done. Ms. Sykes is held to the
10	(inaudible) if she issued no discovery. If she
11	issued discovery, Dr. Shaw's new (inaudible) would
12	have been disclosed.
13	THE COURT: You have my ruling.
14	MS. TOERPE: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else besides those
16	three items?
17	MR. SCHMIEDEL: The apportionment excuse me,
18	the partition action.
19	THE COURT: Right, I started out with that.
20	MS. SYKES: Your Honor, do I get to bring
21	witnesses for this hearing?
22	THE COURT: Yes, of course.
23	MS. SYKES: Okay. I just I didn't hear
24	that.
il.	

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. SYKES: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Okay. The partition action. And as you know, and I will state for the record, in 2004 the Illinois legislature generally restated the entire law in terms of partition. And at that time went so far as to say that it wanted this to stand as the law, irregardless of any historical conflicts that might have existed between decisions between the various Appellate courts.

Therefore, relying upon 735 ILCS 5/17
through -- I mean dash 102 from Chapter 110,
Paragraph 17-102, Section 17-102, et seq., that means
everything following, it would appear that any party
who has an interest in real estate has an absolute
right to bring a request before the Court to ask for
a partition of the property, which would then require
several steps.

Ultimately, it seeks to give monies to the parties to convert the property into monies or to divide the property, if it can be so divided, so that a definite portion of the property will belong to Owner A, assuming two owners, and the other portion would belong to Owner B.

However many owners there are, however many interests there are, that determines the number of, if you will, shares that there would be in the property.

The first step is to discern after the proper petition is to, one, make sure that we have all parties in interest including any unknown parties or parties thought to have interest. And then the Court must ascertain, and this is 517-105, ascertain and declare the right, titles and interest of all parties in such action, the Plaintiffs as well as the Defendant, and enter judgment according to the rights of the parties. So this would reflect the ownership interest.

And I may have misspoken, because sometimes title is owned where there are uneven shares of interest in property, where one party may have three-quarters or some other fractional interest.

Their share is related to the share that they retain, and the Court must make a finding of that.

Then the Court must discern if a division of the property, that is, a physical division of the property can be done.

Let us first then look at do we have all

parties in interest named in this petition for 1 2 partition? 3 MR. SCHMIEDEL: Yes. 4 THE COURT: And you base that on what, Mr. 5 Schmiedel? MR. SCHMIEDEL: The ownership interest that --6 I think we supplied the deed, the deed for the home, 7 part of the petition that shows ownership interest 8 between Mary Sykes, who is now represented in her 9 capacity, but disabled, by Carolyn Toerpe and Gloria 10 Sykes. There's only two people. 11 12 MS. SYKES: There's the 2005 will that's a 13 joint tenancy --14 THE COURT: That has no bearing. A will is 15 nothing. 16 MS. SYKES: There's a --17 THE COURT: A will is nothing. MS. SYKES: There's a trust of which the joint 18 19 tenancy was severed. 20 THE COURT: Is there -- is there any land trust 21 interest --22 MR. SCHMIEDEL: No, Judge. 23 THE COURT: -- for this PIN? 24 MR. SCHMIEDEL: No, Judge. There is only --

there's simply a deed which we have supplied that 1 2 shows ownership interest in joint tenancy. 3 THE COURT: And I mentioned PIN, that's 4 permanent tax number. 5 MR. SCHMIEDEL: THE COURT: Is there more than one permanent 6 7 tax number? MR. SCHMIEDEL: One PIN for this particular 8 9 piece of property. MS. SYKES: Your Honor, in the Estate of Domkey 10 vs. Domnkey (phonetic), it is very clear that the 11 Appellate Court said that a joint tenancy will be 12 severed when more or one unity of time, title, 13 14 interest or possession is destroyed. 15 My mother destroyed the unity of everything except for survivorship. She was very clear in 16 her -- in her trust that this is not a part of her 17 18 estate and --THE COURT: As far as you know, is there any 19 20 land trust or other trust that this title resides in? 21 MR. SCHMIEDEL: Absolutely not. 22 MS. SYKES: It's in her trust, my mother's

intention, and an intent is very important and I

don't have that, and I -- and it was also a gift.

23

But beyond that my mother severed it, she said it was her only interest. And they didn't turn over the deed as they were requested by you to do -- the trust, excuse me, the 2005 pooled trust.

MR. SCHMIEDEL: It's not in the trust.

MS. SYKES: You have a copy of the portion of it. On Page 1 my mother is very specific that 6016 North Avondale is -- her only interest is in her survivorship if she survives me. That is -- and it's not a part of her trust and her assets. All of her other assets are in her trust. She was very clear. And for, you know --

THE COURT: And so I'm going to rule against you because if the property by what you have stated yourself is not in the trust, then it's not in the trust. The title, as I understand it, is held in joint tenancy with rights of survivorship. Is that a correct statement of the law and fact?

MR. SCHMIEDEL: Yes, Judge, correct.

MS. SYKES: Yes.

THE COURT: Therefore, Mrs. Gloria Sykes now has a present interest in this property and that is my ruling.

Now, we have all the parties. I am also

allowed under 735 ILCS 5/17-106 to appoint a commissioner. The Court in its discretion, and I quote here the statute, sua sponte, that means on my own, or at the motion of any interested party may appoint a disinterested commissioner who, subject to direction by the Court, shall report to the Court in writing under oath as to whether or not the premises are subject to division without manifest prejudice to the rights of the parties and if so, report how the division may be made.

The Court may authorize the employment of a surveyor to carry out or assist in the division of the premises, the fees and expenses of the commissioner and the surveyor, and the person making the sale shall be taxed as costs in the proceeding.

Therefore, I believe it would be appropriate for this Court to appoint a commissioner. And do you have any recommendations?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SCHMIEDEL: I can provide a recommendation. I don't have the --

MS. SYKES: I would like an independent, Your Honor. I wouldn't want anybody --

MR. SCHMIEDEL: I can provide -- we can provide people who have acted as commissioners.

1	THE COURT: All right. I would have you
2	back
3	MS. SYKES: Objection, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: I would have you back on the
5	14th 13th or 14th of next week.
6	MR. SCHMIEDEL: I'm in trial in DuPage County.
7	THE COURT: Oh, that's too bad,
8	MS. SYKES: Your Honor, I would like
9	THE COURT: Can someone come for you?
10	MR. SCHMIEDEL: Yes, I can have somebody come.
11	I can have somebody come, Judge.
12	MS. SYKES: I would like to bring somebody
13	here.
14	THE COURT: Are you going to provide, also, a
15	commissioner?
16	MS. SYKES: Yes, I will provide somebody. But
17	Your Honor
18	THE COURT: Give me a name, I want the name.
19	You two will have to discuss whether it will be the
20	13th or 14th. We need to move this along because
21	this petition has been
22	MS. SYKES: Your Honor, this is my home where
23	I'm going to be living, and my
24	THE COURT: Ma'am, the law

1	MS. SYKES: They're evicting me.
2	THE COURT: The law, historically, has been
3	very clear in Illinois.
4	MS. SYKES: No, the law, historically, Your
5	Honor
6	THE COURT: Now, I'm not going to argue the law
7	with you, ma'am. You have my ruling.
8	MS. SYKES: Then I would ask
9	THE COURT: Now
10	MS. SYKES: for reconsideration.
11	THE COURT: Stop, please.
12	MS. SYKES: I will ask for reconsideration.
13	THE COURT: Denied.
14	Continue.
15	MS. SYKES: Then I will appeal.
16	MR. SCHMIEDEL: We can do the 14th. I'll have
17	a colleague come on the 14th, Judge, with the name of
18	a commissioner.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	MR. SCHMIEDEL: People who have done this
21	before.
22	THE COURT: I believe the commissioner should
23	have certain expertise, so you would know. I would
24	definitely I would definitely look for someone who
11	

has experience with real estate and property, and the question -- and understand the question before the Court, and that is, how this division can be made, if it can be made.

If the division can be made, if we can draw a line through the property and say the north side is yours, the south side is Gloria Sykes', without destroying the property, then -- and it is a practical division, then you will have your part, your mother will have hers, and what happens to your mother's part will be what happens to your mother's part, and you will have total control over the remaining part that you maintain.

Is there anything else that we can do today on this matter?

MR. SCHMIEDEL: No, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. May I have my book?

THE CLERK: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: This matter has been going on and on for quite some time. It is time for us to definitely get this -- move beyond and move on.

MS. SYKES: Your Honor, Wednesday is the only --

THE COURT: I'm thinking of giving you two full

```
days. Do you think that would be enough?
  1
             MR. SCHMIEDEL: I hope so, Judge.
  2
            MS. FARENGA:: Two full days, yes.
  3
            THE COURT: Do you have your calendar, ma'am?
  4
  5
            MS. SYKES: I do, it's right here.
  6
            THE COURT: Okay. November 28th and
  7
      November 29th. That's right after Thanksgiving.
  8
      that bad for anybody?
            MS. FARENGA: Is it the Friday after --
 9
 10
            MR. STERN: I'm out of town the 28th. I'm
      leaving the 28th. I'll be back on the 29th.
11
            THE COURT: There's no holiday indicated, in
12
      case you're worried about a religious holiday.
13
14
                The 1st and the 2nd. The 1st is a
     Thursday, the 2nd a Friday.
15
16
            MR. SCHMIEDEL: That's fine.
17
            MR. STERN: No problem.
           MS. FARENGA: No problem.
18
19
           MS. SYKES: October 1st?
20
           THE COURT: No, December 1st.
21
           MS. SYKES:
                       December?
22
           THE COURT:
                       I'm going to give you time to get
23
     ready.
24
           MS. SYKES: Now, this is for the partition?
```

1	THE COURT: No.
2	MS. SYKES: Oh, this is for the
3	THE COURT: This is for the hearing on the
4	question of Mrs. Sykes'
5	MS. SYKES: Competency?
6	THE COURT: ability
7	MR. SCHMIEDEL: Setting aside the apportionment
8	agreement.
9	THE COURT: Yes, to set aside the apportionment
10	agreement and her state at the time that she signed
11	it.
12	December 1st and 2nd. Anybody have
13	difficulty with that time?
14	MR. STERN: No, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Or day.
16	MS. SYKES: Starting at what time?
17	THE COURT: It will start at 10:00 o'clock.
18	Let's make it 10:15. I have two cases on the 1st.
19	And I have already entered a ruling as to how we are
20	going to handle Dr. Shaw.
21	MR. STERN: Have you done a written ruling or
22	you want us to draft that based on what you said?
23	THE COURT: I want you to draft that.
24	MR. STERN: Okay.
11	

1	MS. FARENGA: 10:15 both days?
2	THE COURT: 10:15 both days.
3	MS. SYKES: And when
4	MS. FARENGA: Could I suggest that we have a
5	formal deadline for the exchange of discovery?
6	THE COURT: I would allow you to think about
7	that and offer that. You need to think about what
8	your needs are and discuss that among all of you.
9	MS. FARENGA: Yes.
10	THE COURT: All right?
11	MS. FARENGA: Thank you.
12	MR. STERN: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: I'm not going to tell you at this
14	point how to prepare for your hearing.
15	MS. SYKES: Has there been a hearing set for
16	Dr. Shaw?
17	MR. SCHMIEDEL: That's the date.
18	THE COURT: They don't know because they are
19	sick. They have not had they just found out
20	they're going to trial.
21	MS. FARENGA: Assuming Dr. Shaw is available
22	one of those days, one of those days would include
23	his testimony.
24	MS. SYKES: Oh, so it would be either the 1st
п	

or the 2nd.

2.0

of course, Dr. Shaw isn't here so it's a little bit difficult. And if there needs to be some adjustment, this is far enough in the future so that if there needs to be some adjustment, by the time you talk to Dr. Shaw about his availability you have more than enough time to bring that before me.

And obviously before you couple before me, there must be some legitimate attempt to get

Ms. Sykes involved in deciding what day that's going to be, if we need to adjust the whole calendar.

Again, I realize that for the four of you, the three attorneys and Ms. Sykes, you did not know you were going to be going on trial on the 1st and 2nd of December, so that's why I'm giving you time to work these things out. Thank you very much.

MR. STERN: So it's clear, his testimony stands as it is. It's being reopened for --

THE COURT: Exactly, exactly.

MS. FARENGA: Okay.

THE COURT: Exactly. Thank you. I'm sorry. So much better.

MS. SYKES: And the commissioner, we're here on

1 the 14th, is that what you're saying? 2 THE COURT: On the 14th. I need to know -- I need to have recommendations for the commissioner. I 3 also -- I don't believe the law would prevent me from 4 appointing a third party not nominated by either one 5 6 of you. 7 MS. FARENGA: Is that at --THE COURT: Let's make that 11:30 on the 14th. 8 9 MS. SYKES: On the 14th? Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Really we could make it even 10:00 because I was supposed to have a trial all day. So 11 it's a very light calendar, so you can come in. 12 13 MS. FARENGA: Okay. So 10:00 o'clock. 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 MS. SYKES: On the 14th of this month. MS. FARENGA: Your Honor, I'm reminded that my 16 motion -- I thought my motion was set for today, but 17 I didn't see it in the order I tendered to the Court. 18 But Mr. Stern reminded me it was set by a separate 19 20 order, a motion to compel disclosure of whereabouts 21 of \$60,000. I'm wondering if we should --22

THE COURT: Have we set that down for any briefing schedule?

MS. FARENGA: No, we have not.

23

THE COURT: All right. Why don't we set this 1 down for a briefing schedule. I'm going to give you, 2 Ms. Sykes, 14 days to respond to that motion. 3 4 MS. SYKES: I already did, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: And then -- you did? 6 MS. SYKES: I did respond to it but --7 THE COURT: Excuse me, did you want to file any 8 reply? 9 MS. FARENGA: Could I have 10 days? 10 THE COURT: Certainly. 11 MS. FARENGA: Just in case. 12 THE COURT: And then we need to set this out 13 for a hearing on your motion for -- how much? 14 MS. FARENGA: \$60,000, Your Honor. I kind of think that if the Court rules that Mary Sykes has an 15 interest then my motion is relevant. If the Court rules that Mary Sykes does not have an interest then I don't think my motion is relevant anymore. THE COURT: So would you want to put it off until after the trial? MS. FARENGA: Yes, I think so. MR. SCHMIEDEL: Put it at the same time. MS. FARENGA: Right. THE COURT: We can consider it at the time of

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	the trial. Entered and continued until that time.
2	MS. FARENGA: Okay. Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	Thank you very much.
5	MS. SYKES: Your Honor, have a wonderful day,
6	and thank you.
7	THE COURT: You, too.
8	
9	(Which were all the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled cause.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS 2) SS: 3 COUNTY OF C O O K 4 5 I, CHARLENE J. THOMAS, an Official Court Reporter for the Circuit Court of Cook County, County 6 7 Department, Probate Division, do hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the proceedings had at the 8 hearing in the above-entitled cause; that I 9 thereafter caused the foregoing to be transcribed 10 into typewriting, which I hereby certify to be a true 11 12 and accurate transcript of the Report of Proceedings had before the HONORABLE JANE STUART, Judge of said 13 14 court. 15 16 17 Charlene J. 18 Official Court/Reporter CSR No. 084-001251 19 20 21 Dated this 20th day 22 of June, A. D., 2012. 23